

Questions for Stakeholders

1. How far do you share Consentec report's assessment of the current tariff system's strengths and weaknesses, particularly regarding the tension between incentivizing flexibility and ensuring cost recovery? Which issues do you see as the most urgent to address?
2. Do you support replacing the current cost cascade based on maximum annual load with a simpler model based on gross annual consumption considering the expected benefits for stability, transparency and fairness as described in chapter 3 of the Consentec report? Why or why not?
3. What is your view on the shift from tariffs based on actual peak load to a reference capacity? In this model, users subscribe to a capacity and face surcharges when they exceed it, like the new tariff structure applicable to low voltage customers since Jan 1, 2025.
4. What is your opinion on the proposal to remove the simultaneity function and instead apply fixed shares on the repartition between capacity and volumetric tariff components given the operational and conceptual challenges highlighted in the Consentec study (see Consentec report 5.4)? Should the tariff still consider usage hours? In your opinion, what balance between capacity in €/kW, and consumption in €/kWh would you consider most fair and effective in encouraging efficient and flexible use of the electricity grid? Would a 40% capacity / 60% commodity split be appropriate (see Consentec report 5.4.1)?
5. What approach should be considered for self-consumption from renewable and non-renewable production in the future tariff structure, ensuring that all users contribute fairly to network costs? How should the tariff structure address electricity injection into the grid from renewable and non-renewable production without creating distortions in investment decisions or in the dispatch of generation units (Consentec report chapter 4)?
6. Should specific tariffs be introduced for storage facilities to better reflect their ability to withdraw and inject electricity flexibly? What design principles would you propose?
7. Which key elements should be included in a new network tariff structure to effectively incentivize demand-side flexibility (time-shifted consumption or injection) in a way that is cost-reflective and grid friendly?
8. What practical considerations should be considered for implementing time-of-use network charges?
9. How can industrial customers be incentivised to increase their consumption during peak generation hours, mainly during high PV generation at noon (weekday and weekend)? Do you think there is a potential, and if yes, for which type of assets?

10. Do you foresee technical or operational challenges for consumers and producers in adapting to a new tariff model based on reference capacities?

11. What kind of transition measures (e.g. gradual implementation, timing, communication, customer guidance or support (e.g. simulation tools)) would you consider necessary to ensure a smooth and equitable implementation of the new tariff structure?